

Date: Fri, 9 Apr 93 17:49:03 PDT
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V93 #443
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Fri, 9 Apr 93 Volume 93 : Issue 443

Today's Topics:

 10m is dead?
 ARRL/QST's advertising policies
 ARRL living in the past?
 ARRL living in the past?) [sic]
cancel <pschleck.734316550@cwis> (2 msgs)
 Contest is Okay
 FCC 2 & 3A question pools
 IC-24AT Mod Help
 rigs for 70 cm band (2 msgs)
 Shuttle Audio broadcast?
Two-Line Orbital Element Set: Space Shuttle
 Want Jammer in Slammer

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 9 Apr 93 18:01:06 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: 10m is dead?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Thats what everyone says -- what they mean is 10m F2 is becoming less
frequent as the flux declines.

But keep in mind:

1. The solar flux becomes very variable from day to day around the

minimum. It can suck bad for several days then open up. The problem there is there are few people looking for the openings! Listen to the beacons and call CQ!

2. Sporadic E will always be around during the summer. Just wait for the right times (and hope there are other 10m enthusiasts out there!).

3. try CW (or a digital mode). Often you can find nothing on SSB but there is interesting stuff at the low end of the band.

4. go for north-south paths. Forget about over the pole!

5. There is always the mode A (or mode K) Russian satellites if the band is dead!

Kevin Purcell N7WIM / G8UDP

a-kevinp@microsoft.com

"We conjure the spirits of the computer with our spells"

From: Jeff Jones <netmail!jeffj@seeker.Mystic.Com>

To: Kevin Purcell <microsoft.com!a-kevinp@ns.PacBell.COM>

Subject: Re: htx100 mods

Date: Friday, April 09, 1993 10:36AM

>

> Why bother?

>

> 50W == x2 == 3dB == 1/2 S unit == bugger all

>

> What it will do is increase the amount of IMD by a much larger amount

> (about 10dB) (thats if it isn't flattopping).

>

> You will just spalatter across the band don't do it Jeff.

>

> Finally, when 10m is dead it really is dead, when 10m is open 25W is

> plenty, 5W will almost get you everywhere all the time.

>

> Yeap I have a HTX100!

Good point Kevin. Just kinda wondering what other mods were out there. Yep, 10 meters is on it's way out to kinda pointless to do much work on the old HTX-100. 73!

Jeff

Date: 9 Apr 93 22:42:46 GMT

From: ogicse!uwm.edu!linac!unixhub!slcsrv.slac.stanford.edu!gregs@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: ARRL/QST's advertising policies

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

BR.SJE@forsythe.stanford.edu (Steve Eastman) writes:

>In article <C4vw3A.Dvr@athena.cs.uga.edu>,

>mcovingt@aisun3.ai.uga.edu (Michael Covington) writes:

>>I stand by my main point, which is that the ARRL is apparently avoiding

>>(and has a right to avoid) publishing ads that would raise _controversies_

>>irrelevant to radio.

>>--

>>:- Michael A. Covington internet mcovingt@ai.uga.edu : *****

>>:- Artificial Intelligence Programs phone 706 542-0358 : *****

>>:- The University of Georgia fax 706 542-0349 : * * *

>>:- Athens, Georgia 30602-7415 U.S.A. amateur radio N4TMI : ** *** **

>"Controversy" is in the eye of the beholder. LARC created no controversy,

>ARRL did (in there own minds before the AD ever ran, no less).

> [stuff deleted]

> "9th Amendment

> The enumeration in the Constitution of certain rights shall not

> be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people."

You know -- I've given this some thought. Maybe the ARRL is biased against gays, maybe not. The bottom line still is that as a publication and the owners of that publication, they have the right to decide what goes in it or not. Now the point here hasn't been whether the ARRL should HAVE to post the LARC ad, but that the ARRL was practicing discrimination based on sexual orientation -- a perfectly legal form of discrimination, and their right btw -- in not doing so. Pass your judgements on that basis.

I assume the 9th Amendment quote is unrelated to the post, otherwise we'd be speaking of also violating the rights of the ARRL to publish what they wanted to and NOT what they didn't want to.

greg

Date: 9 Apr 93 23:10:21 GMT

From: ogicse!uwm.edu!linac!unixhub!slcsrv.slac.stanford.edu!gregs@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: ARRL living in the past?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

jeg7e@livia.acs.Virginia.EDU (Jon Gefaell) writes:

>In article <1993Apr6.184952.1115@ryn.mro4.dec.com> randolph@est.enet.dec.com (Tom Randolph) writes:

>>In article <C50qGp.1on@murdoch.acc.Virginia.EDU>, jeg7e@livia.acs.Virginia.EDU

(Jon Gefaell) writes...

>>>In article <1993Apr1.144055.22359@decabo.abo.dec.com>

brewer@anarky.enet.dec.com (John Brewer) writes:

>>>>

>>>>1) The ARRL has refused, according to several sources, to accept an ad for
>>>> the Lambda Amateur Radio Club, a group of gay and lesbian amateur radio
>>>>

>>>> Uh Oh! Political Correctness Flamefest alert!

>>>> /john

>>>

>>>John, care to explain just what the hell this means?

>>

>>I rather think it means most people just plain aren't interested in this
>>subject one way or the other, and wish you'd go argue it somewhere else.

>

>No, I think that you WISH people wern't interested. It's a long standing
>thing, this wishing the homos would go away. But it's not gonna happen,
>don't you get it yet? We're not gonna just dissapear, we're here, we're
>Queer, and we're taking our rightful place in history and contemporary
>society.

Actually, I do believe there are quite a few people who think this thread is boring and tiresome and making a big deal out of not much of anything, but then I can't say I've one of them since I am posting here, aren't I? :-)

Believe me - no matter what the subject -- you will always find people who honestly just don't care, and it has nothing to do with WISHING people didn't care ... though you could be right with this previous poster. You sound like you think the whole world is out to get gays. In part you may have some truths to that, but to think that everything revolves about that issue is a little ego-centric.

>>The whole thing reminds me of someone I once saw making a big stink to the
>>manager about the food in a fast food joint. After the guy finally walked out
>>in a huff, everyone else simply turned back around and resumed ordering their
>>meals. I guess the guy expected everyone else to follow him out...?

>

>This is NOT fast food, and YES, I (and other agitating Queers) DO expect
>you to follow us in our demands for Civil Rights, anything less is anti-
>American.

This is NOT about civil rights. Good issue, but wrong context. This is about whether you think and approve/disapprove of the ARRL not allowing LARC to have an ad run in their magazine. No civil rights were violated. If the KKK wants to put an ad in the Advocate, it's up to the discretion of the owners of the Advocate whether or not they will post it or not. They should not be involuntarily forced to do so. I think you are confusing the issues.

Freedom of speech and freedom of the press is about printing what you want as well as selectively NOT printing what you don't want. If you own it, no one should be able to force you to put something in it that you don't want there.

I'm all for the civil rights of gays and lesbians just as everyone else equally deserves in this country, but you have to know the difference between civil rights and individual rights of ownership. Escalating and transforming issues that are not about civil rights into some kind of holy war in the name of civil rights only serves to alienate people from public support and sympathy -- not to mention trivialize and demean the importance and definition of civil rights.

greg

Date: 9 Apr 93 14:30:08 GMT
From: psinntp!loretta!hbe@uunet.uu.net
Subject: ARRL living in the past?) [sic]
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In <734330070snx@skyld.tele.com>, Jeffrey D. Angus writes:

>
>In [...] <1993Apr4.131905.10441@loretta.la.ca.us> hbe@loretta.la.ca.us writes:
>
> > In <1993Apr1.225218.21618@pixar.com>, Bruce Perens writes:
> >
> > >It's the language that I find the most offensive. I found it embarrassing
> > >to explain to my non-ham fiance' that XYL meant "Ex-Young-Woman", and
> >
> > "XYL" is a putatively clever euphemism for "Old Lady," silly. The intent
>
> Closer, but still not correct. It means exactly what it looks like.
> YL, as in Young Lady, female and single. Ex-young-lady just means no
> longer single.
>
> This stuff has been around for years. It seems a shame people haven't
> been able to figure it out yet.

Indeed; but "not correct"? Ahem.

The nameless telegrapher's nerdish cuteness motivated him coyly to negate "young" rather than say "old" outright. "Old lady" for "wife" is a still-current usage dating from about 1871. "Young lady" as used between radio operators is itself likely to have originated as a back-formation from "old lady."

-Harris.

Date: Fri, 9 Apr 1993 16:55:00 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!hellgate.utah.edu!cs.utexas.edu!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!
wupost!bigboy.sbc.com!news.mtholyoke.edu!news.unomaha.edu!cwis!
pschleck@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: cancel <pschleck.734316550@cwis>
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Paul.W.Schleck.KD3FU@f716.n109.z1.his.com (Paul W Schleck KD3FU) writes:

>Organization: University of Nebraska at Omaha

>Cancelled manually.

Interesting. Seems some errant Fidonet site (my E-mail address has never been pschleck@f716.n109.z1.his.com) is duplicating messages to this newsgroup (and stripping headers it doesn't recognize, including Control:, which caused my cancel to be visible to this newsgroup).

Someone want to bounce some E-mail to the postmaster?

73, Paul W. Schleck, KD3FU

pschleck@unomaha.edu

Date: 9 Apr 93 18:16:53 GMT
From: ogicse!news.tek.com!cascade.ens.tek.com!ronk@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: cancel <pschleck.734316550@cwis>
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <pschleck.734374500@cwis>, pschleck@cwis.unomaha.edu (Paul W Schleck KD3FU) writes:

|> Paul.W.Schleck.KD3FU@f716.n109.z1.his.com (Paul W Schleck KD3FU) writes:

|>

|> >Organization: University of Nebraska at Omaha

|>

|> >Cancelled manually.

|>

|> Interesting. Seems some errant Fidonet site (my E-mail address has never been pschleck@f716.n109.z1.his.com) is duplicating messages to this newsgroup (and stripping headers it doesn't recognize, including Control:, which caused my cancel to be visible to this newsgroup).

|>

|> Someone want to bounce some E-mail to the postmaster?
|>
|> 73, Paul W. Schleck, KD3FU
|>
|> pschleck@unomaha.edu
|>

This isn't the only group that has been hit with this. The site in question has been reposting ALL articles, changing the Message-ID, and the From address (after the '@' of course).

It may also be the mailer on 'his.com'. I sent mail to news and root on f716.n109.z1.his.com and copied news and root on his.com...I received two messages saying that news was not a valid user and two messages saying that root was not a valid user. So far my mail to postmaster@his.com has not been returned nor have I received a reply from f716.n109.z1.his.com.

If it continues, I will also contact uunet and request they refuse connections from the site until the problem is resolved.

--

Ron Kirkpatrick
News Administrator/Postmaster
Tektronix, Inc
503-627-6707

Date: 9 Apr 93 19:14:40 GMT
From: pa.dec.com!nntpd2.cxo.dec.com!10540.enet.dec.com!jepsen_st@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: Contest is Okay
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

>>>>>But the WPX contest has me worried... the HF phone bands are one big
>>>>> etc. etc. etc. etc.
>>
>>>>Contesting is as valid a use of the amateur bands as rag chewing, net
>>>> etc. etc. etc. etc.
>>
>>>I really enjoy hunting DX during the WPX and the other world wide contests.
>>>However the bands are a shared resource and I don't believe that anyone
>>> etc. etc. etc. etc.
>>
>>Yes the bands are a shared resource. Let's see there are probably 10 big
>>contest a year. Some of them are 48 hours long. Thats about 20 days a year.
>>20 days out of 365 days (20/365)=5%.
>
> The way I see it, that is 20 weekends a year the entire (SSB|CW)

>half of all HF bands are inundated. 40 or 50 percent of PRIME TIME is
>eaten by the "59 12345, QRZ" monster.

Interesting math. I thought week ends were two days long. That's 10 weekends, not 20. Since there are 52 weeks in a year that's about 19% of the week ends.

You make it sound as though it's not possible to conduct any other type of QSO during a contest. That's simply not true. (for most of us, anyway).

>>How about 80m check-in nets. Let's see they're on the entire 80 phone
>>band from 6PM to 9PM (west coast time) 7 days a week. That's 3 hours a day
>>for 365 days a year ($3 \times 365 = 1095$ hours). At 24 hours per day that's ($1095 / 24 = 45.63$ days a year). So at 45 days a year ($45 / 365 = 12\%$).

>

>We have here dozens of stations SHARING a single frequency, maybe even
>enjoying a contact. Certainly not just lying about signal reports
>("You are 59, but I missed your callsign and report.")

>

During the SS contest last year I made 1380 QSO's in 24 hours. That's about 57 QSO's an hour. If you figure the 80 meter nets last from 6pm to 9pm (3 hours) that means I had about 172 QSO's during the same period.

Sounds like 172 amateurs SHARED a single frequency. How many nets have that kind of efficiency?

(I believe all 172 contacts were enjoyable)

(Of course no-one in a net would exaggerate a signal report)

>

>>Regarding limiting contests to certain band segments. It's not a bad idea
>> etc. etc. etc.

>Actually, if the contesting gets much more aggressive, it will be outlawed,
>which only alienates contesters :-).

>

>>Contesting doesn't appeal to everyone, neither does DXing or Traffic nets
>>or (your choice here). That doesn't mean it's not a fair, valid use of the
>>amateur bands.

>

>It would be more palatable if it didn't force everything else off the bands
>on half the weekends of the year.

>

I've listened in and participated in contests for 20+ years and I don't remember ever hearing a contest cause all other amateur activity to stop. Emotional arguments aside, the only amateur activity that should have any priority over other uses is emergency communications.

>

Steve...AI7W

Date: Fri, 9 Apr 1993 19:50:57 GMT
From: math.fu-berlin.de!aa.cad.slb.com!braun@uunet.uu.net
Subject: FCC 2 & 3A question pools
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hi all-

I'm a ham'er to be. I've been studying the ARRL book "Now You're Talking with HAM radio" for the last few weeks. I'm almost done reading it all and will start reviewing and studying the questions before I take the test. I'll be taking the Technician FCC exams parts 2 and 3A.

What I would like to know is there a program on the net that will randomly generate sample tests from the official question pool? If not, I was thinking if anyone has the questions in electronic form, that I could write a short program to read in the questions and output sample tests.

If you know of such a program or where an online copy of the question pool is, please email me.

Thanks,

Matthew.

--

Matthew Braun
braun@ann-arbor.applicon.slb.com

Applicon, Inc.
Ann Arbor, Michigan (USA)
(313) 995-6264

Date: Fri, 9 Apr 1993 21:49:07 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!hellgate.utah.edu!cs.utexas.edu!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!
howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!squam.banyan.com!banyan.com!
dts@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: IC-24AT Mod Help
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

When you are crossband repeating the VHF repeater to UHF, what frequency do you use on UHF? Are there frequencies specifically allocated in your area as simplex channels on 440 (other than the calling channel 446.000, which I assume you do not use)?

This points to two problems:

1. There is only one FM simplex channel defined in the band plans for 440, and

more are needed.

2. Crossband repeaters are REPEATERS and need coordination to use any specific frequency. If you use a crossband repeater capability you should think about writing a letter to your local frequency coordinator to ask where to operate.

--

```
-----
Daniel Senie                Internet:    dts@banyan.com
Banyan Systems, Inc.        Compuserve:  74176,1347
508-898-1188                Packet Radio: N1JEB@WA1PHY.MA
-----
```

```
-----
Date: 9 Apr 93 16:28:56 GMT
From: ogicse!uwm.edu!rpi!rs6413.ecs.rpi.edu!maessm@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: rigs for 70 cm band
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu
-----
```

In article <1993Apr5.172831.18824@hemlock.cray.com>, dadams@cray.com (David Adams) writes:

```
|> But I notice that the 70 cm band goes from 420-450 MHz.  Why don't
|> these comercial rigs cover the band?  Does most of 70 cm go unused?
|> Why?
|>
|> On other rigs advertised, I could not find any information about what
|> sub portions of the band were covered.
```

This is just off the top of my head, so don't flame me if it's wrong.

420-426, 426-432: Amateur TV (2 channels)
432-440: Weak signal and satellites (SSB and CW)
440-450: FM simplex and repeaters

The reason the radios you list only cover 440-450 is because they are FM only. If you look at the all-mode 70cm rigs, such as the Icom 475 or Kenwood 811, they will cover at least 430-450 Mhz. I believe that AEA sells an ATV transmitter and receive converter combo that works on the two ATV channels.

--

Mat Maessen N2NJZ | maessm@rpi.edu

```
-----
disclaimer: Anyone NOT singing will have a can of Foster's lobbed at
their heads.
-----
```

(c) 1993 Fake-sig Co., Inc.

Date: 9 Apr 93 16:53:04 GMT
From: topaz.bds.com!topaz.bds.com!ron@uunet.uu.net
Subject: rigs for 70 cm band
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

> But I notice that the 70 cm band goes from 420-450 MHz. Why don't
> these commercial rigs cover the band?

Because the FM repeaters primarily operate between 440-450 and that's
what people primarily want in FM only Mobile radios (My FT470 goes
down to 430). Typically to get full coverage you have to look at a
multimode UHF rig.

-Ron

Date: 9 Apr 93 18:21:23 GMT
From: ogicse!uwm.edu!rpi!vccsouth29.its.rpi.edu!grossm@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: Shuttle Audio broadcast?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Apr8.231951.12321@Princeton.EDU>, kornblum@phoenix.Princeton.EDU
(Aaron Ethan Kornblum) writes:

|> In article <1q26v4INN2gd@hpsdl1136.sdd.hp.com> craigb@sdd.hp.com (Craig
Bosworth) writes:

|> >(Hopefully limited to San Diego distribution...)

|> >

|> >Is the Shuttle audio being broadcast in the San Diego area? Does

|> >anyone know what frequency?

|> >Replies in email, please. I will post answers to sdnet distribution.

|>

|> Well, since your message made it this far, how about frequencies in the

|> metropolitan New York/New Jersey/Philadelphia areas?...

|>

|>

|> -Aaron Kornblum

|> Princeton University

|> kornblum@phoenix.princeton.edu

|>

How 'bout the Capital District of New York (Albany, Schenectady Troy)??

Date: Fri, 9 Apr 1993 21:02:00 GMT

From: iris.mbvlab.wpafb.af.mil!blackbird.afit.af.mil!tkelso@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Two-Line Orbital Element Set: Space Shuttle
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

The most current orbital elements from the NORAD two-line element sets are carried on the Celestial BBS, (513) 427-0674, and are updated daily (when possible). Documentation and tracking software are also available on this system. As a service to the satellite user community, the most current elements for the current shuttle mission are provided below. The Celestial BBS may be accessed 24 hours/day at 300, 1200, 2400, 4800, or 9600 bps using 8 data bits, 1 stop bit, no parity.

Element sets (also updated daily), shuttle elements, and some documentation and software are also available via anonymous ftp from archive.afit.af.mil (129.92.1.66) in the directory pub/space.

STS 56

```
1 22621U 93 23 A 93 98.58333332 .00059346 00000-0 17143-3 0 53
2 22621 57.0034 177.2911 0006562 270.2987 339.7131 15.92539873 50
```

--

Dr TS Kelso
tkelso@afit.af.mil

Assistant Professor of Space Operations
Air Force Institute of Technology

Date: Fri, 9 Apr 1993 17:35:09 GMT
From: boulder!ucsu!spot.Colorado.EDU!weaverb@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Want Jammer in Slammer
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Alan.Bloom@f716.n109.z1.his.com (Alan Bloom) writes:

>Contact your ARRL Section Manager. The Amateur Auxiliary (I think it's
>called) is now working closely with the FCC on these problems. If your
>SM doesn't know about it, contact the Pacific Div Director. Addresses
>and phone numbers are in every issue of QST.

>AL N1AL

I have some bad news for you all, the above will do nothing. The ARRL and FCC are lazy and don't care or don't want to deal with it. There I said it, I slandered the gods. Here is the proof, and it is proof.

A friend of mine and I tracked down a local jammer one evening with 2 beams and a 440mhz doppler system. We found his exact location and address. For the next several nights my friend recorded on tape

what this guy was doing and also kept careful logs in a notebook of his actions, we even managed to get the jammers call at one point. Then we turned all of this in to the ARRL section manager and the local FCC field office. We thought we really had this one wrapped up right? No, what happened is we got heard back from the ARRL saying they relied on "peer pressure" to take care of these people, and basically I don't think they even contacted the guy. Then the FCC send a letter saying they let the FCC handle these problems. Course we told them that we are tax payers and the FCC's job is to handle it because the ARRL has the authority to really do anything other than call this guy up and say, "can you please not jam this repeater blah blah blah."

Well, to this day this jammer is still jamming away and can be heard on almost a nightly basis. So much for the FCC and ARRL. What do these guys do anyway?

So there you go, don't bother going to the FCC or ARRL about this jammer, you won't get anywhere.

If anyone wants more info on this, email me and I can provide a packet address of my buddy who did most of this work and he might be able to provide you copies of the letters from the ARRL and FCC.

-- Brian KD6CFA

--

Brian Weaver (303)786-0021 University of Colorado at Boulder
weaverb@boulder.Colorado.EDU (internet)
KD6CFA@N0ARY.#NOCAL.CA.USA.NA (packet radio)
PGP Public key available via finger or request.

Date: 9 Apr 93 21:24:35 GMT
From: telesoft!garym@uunet.uu.net
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1993Apr6.224413.521@telesoft.com>,
<1993Apr9.011740.17688@telesoft.com>, <1993Apr9.140121.6210@telesoft.com>
Subject : STS-56 Element Set (099.66)

STS-56

1 22621U 93 23 A 93099.66958619 +.00061656 00000-0 17780-3 0 70
2 22621 57.0029 172.2596 0005303 270.9340 89.1129 15.92580451 242

Satellite: STS-56

Catalog number: 22621

Epoch time: 93099.66958619 (09 APR 93 16:04:12.25 UTC)

Element set: GSFC-007a

Inclination:	57.0029 deg	
RA of node:	172.2596 deg	Space Shuttle Flight STS-56
Eccentricity:	0.0005303	Keplerian Elements
Arg of perigee:	270.9340 deg	
Mean anomaly:	89.1129 deg	
Mean motion:	15.92580451 rev/day	Semi-major Axis: 6673.1970 Km
Decay rate:	0.62E-03 rev/day*2	Apogee Alt: 298.35 Km
Epoch rev:	24	Perigee Alt: 291.27 Km

NOTE - This element set is based on NORAD element set # 007.
The spacecraft has been propagated to the next ascending
node, and the orbit number has been adjusted to bring it
into agreement with the NASA numbering convention.

R.A. Parise, Goddard Space Flight Center
G.L.CARMAN

--

Gary Morris KK6YB Internet: elements-request@telesoft.com
San Diego, CA, USA Phone: +1 619-457-2700
(For a Shuttle Elements subscription email to: elements-request@telesoft.com)
(STS elements and related info are archived, email: listserv@telesoft.com)

Date: 9 Apr 93 20:09:35 GMT
From: timbuk.cray.com!hemlock.cray.com!andyw@uunet.uu.net
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <734345121.AA00815@his.com>, <pschleck.734374500@cwis>,
<1q4eimINNfai@zephyr.ens.tek.com>p
Subject : Re: cancel <pschleck.734316550@cwis>

In article <1q4eimINNfai@zephyr.ens.tek.com>, ronk@cascade.ens.tek.com (Ron
Kirkpatrick) writes:
> In article <pschleck.734374500@cwis>, pschleck@cwis.unomaha.edu (Paul W Schleck
KD3FU) writes:
> [...]
> |> Someone want to bounce some E-mail to the postmaster?
> [...]
> If it continues, I will also contact uunet and request they refuse connections
> from the site until the problem is resolved.

Mail I sent to postmaster@his.com this morning got a prompt &
courteous reply. He's stopped all outbound news feeds until the
problem is fixed. I hope he doesn't get flamed to a crisp for what
turned out to be a genuine error that was quickly corrected.

--

andyw. NØREN/G1XRL

andyw@aspen.cray.com Andy Warner, Cray Research, Inc. (612) 683-5835

End of Info-Hams Digest V93 #443
